

Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected Areas series | November 2024

What is (and what is not) 'heightened' about hHRDD?

Overview

The past decade has witnessed the spread of internal and international conflict and political instability worldwide, with armed confrontation in Europe, military coups in Myanmar and several West African states, growth in the influence of violent criminal organisations in several Latin American countries, and the dramatic escalation of conflict in the Middle East. The ICRC has indicated that in 2024 there are more than 120 armed conflicts around the world, involving more than 60 different states and 120 non-state armed groups as parties to those conflicts.¹

As a result, companies, investors and, indeed, consumers are increasingly linked to armed conflict and global instability worldwide. Whether through the metals and minerals required for the energy transition, through consumer staples such as sugar, cacao, coffee and cotton or through social media and travel platforms – amongst other goods and services – our lives are increasingly interconnected with, and at risk of causing, exacerbating or sustaining violent conflict.

This places both companies and investors in a critical position: if responsible companies and investors were to leave conflict-affected and high-risk areas (CAHRAs) this would have a devastating impact on international peace and security; at the same time, if responsible companies with business activities in CAHRAs were to consistently and meaningfully implement heightened human rights due diligence (hHRDD), it would contribute to a substantive reduction in levels of violent and armed conflict.

A thorough understanding of responsible business in CAHRAs is more critical now than ever before. This Brief – the second in our Responsible Business in Conflict series – covers the following four questions:

- What is hHRDD?
 Why do hHRDD?
 - 4 How to c
- Why do hHRDD well?
 How to do hHRDD well?
 - ICRC. "International Humanitarian Law and the Challenges of Contemporary Armed Conflicts," September 2024. P. 10. URL

About this series:

The Responsible business in conflict-affected areas series, led by TrustWorks, provides timely insights to questions raised by our company clients and partners; the briefs are designed to support company representatives navigate the responsible business in conflict agenda. This is the second brief in the series.

The brief is structured to cater for both busy and avid readers: on the left hand column, busy readers can skim through the main messages of the brief. Avid readers, with a bit more time on their hands, can read the right-hand side for a more indepth understanding of the issues at hand.

Check out the other briefs in this series on our website **trustworksglobal.com**

1. What is hHRDD?The UN Guiding PrinciplesThe United Nations Guid

Global

TrustWorks

require companies to undertake human rights due diligence (HRDD) in a manner that is proportional to the risks of doing harm.

The United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) require companies to take action to meet their responsibility to respect human rights though a process of human rights due diligence (HRDD). With regards to companies' business activities in CAHRAs, the UNGPs refer to the principle of proportionality: the higher the risk of adverse human rights impacts, the more 'enhanced' the processes to prevent or mitigate these impacts that are required. The principle of proportionality derives from the fact that the risk of gross human rights abuses is heightened in CAHRAs.

In conflict settings, a process of heightened human rights due diligence (hHRDD) is required as a result.

> hHRDD requires an understanding of the conflict context, not a heightened intensity of HRDD.

In the United Nations Working Group's report, 'Business, human rights and conflictaffected regions: towards heightened due diligence', the issue of human rights and transnational corporations and other business enterprises builds upon the above reference to proportionality, calling for 'heightened action' on the part of both States and companies in situations when the businesses operate in CAHRAs. The report elucidates the requirement for 'heightened human rights due diligence' indicating that in CAHRAs companies should complement the regular HRDD process with an approach that allows companies to operate in a 'conflict-sensitive' way. This complementary approach plays out in three steps:

- Conflict analysis: An in-depth understanding of the conflict context (including drivers and dynamics of conflict, triggers of violence, local, national and regional dynamics, etc.);
- Stakeholder analysis: An in-depth understanding of the actors of and in the conflict (including their motivations, influence, roles in and/or relationship to the conflict, etc.);
- 3. Company interaction analysis: An in-depth analysis of the ways the company, through its operations, products, services and/or relationships, is exposed to risks and/or has impacts that may contribute, cause or be linked to conflict.

Such an approach should include an analysis of the company's interrelation with, and respect for international humanitarian law (IHL) i.e. the specific body of law that applies in situations of armed conflict.

Brief 2 | What is (and what is not) 'heightened' about hHRDD?

Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected Areas series | November 2024



Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected Areas series | November 2024

The objective of hHRDD processes is to address risks and adverse impacts on both human rights and conflict. hHRDD is meant to tackle two critical issues in CAHRAs:

- 1) The heightened risk of company's adverse impacts on human rights; and,
- 2) The risk of company's adverse impacts on conflict.

It is worth noting that these two sets of risks can take shape independently i.e. companies can lead their business activities in a way that is human rightscompliant, but that nevertheless cause, contribute or is linked in some way to conflict.

hHRDD thus requires a complementary set of actions rather than simply a more intense or more thorough implementation of standard HRDD. Indeed, standard HRDD processes will fail to capture the most important risks and impacts associated with business activities in CAHRAs.

2. Why do hHRDD?

The need for hHRDD stems from the fact that CAHRAs display a number of contextual characteristics that shape the nature of company activities. These can be boiled down into three categories: poor governance; failing law and order; and high security stakes. Under these circumstances, companies are more likely to have severe adverse impacts, on both human rights, and on the conflict itself.

Besides these very significant impacts, companies operating in or sourcing from CAHRAs without the proper in-depth understanding of both human rights and conflict risks and impacts are also exposed to significant operational, legal, reputational and financial risks. Indeed, since many of the basic elements that support business activities are weak or indeed absent in conflict settings, corporate activities are both more exposed to and threatened by the effects of the conflict – a reality that a solid hHRDD process is able to effectively identify and then navigate.

There are many ways business activities in CAHRAs can cause, contribute, or be linked to conflict, for example (but not limited to):

- Association with actors involved in the conflict dynamics, whether non-state armed groups, criminal elements, or corrupt government officials;
- The establishment of operations or supply chains in territories, or the use of transport routes that cross territories that are contested by parties to the conflict;
- "Land grabbing" through the expansion of operations or supply chains in land previously occupied by local populations that have been displaced due to violent conflict and insecurity;
- Human resources or supply chain practices that exacerbate ethnic or religious cleavages;

Conflict settings display specific characteristics that interplay with business operations to produce adverse impacts on both people and the context.

> In such contexts, day-to-day business actions can cause, contribute, or be linked to conflict in a variety of ways.



Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected Areas series | November 2024

- Payment of taxes, duties or bribes that fuel or finance conflict;
- Social investments that empower certain groups over others or create tensions between them; and/or,
- The contracting of security providers that perform poorly and/or are associated with parties to the conflict.

In conflict settings, these day-to-day business activities create wide ranging operational risks. They can lead to a large set of adverse consequences for companies, from security incidents affecting staff, assets and operations, to destruction of assets, to disruptions along the value chain, to difficulties to hire or keep staff or to interruptions or cessation of the operations, to cite only a few.

More significantly, these adverse consequences can lead to longer-term legal, financial and reputational adverse impacts such as:

- Loss of the legal and/or social license to operate;
- Expansion in the security apparatus;
- Complicated access to insurance and capital;
- Loss of access to clients or markets;
- Diversion of the time and energy of top- and mid-level leadership towards crisis management;
- Reputation hits and boycotts; and,
- Litigation against the company.

Company leadership should be under no illusion: there is no way for responsible companies to do 'business as usual' in CAHRAs.

Negligent companies which do not fulfil their responsibilities in conflict settings and fail to deploy a meaningful hHRDD process may find themselves navigating increasingly complex (and costly) waters. Indeed, a poor understanding of conflict settings can often unleash a series of ill-fated consequences that can undermine company performance, either immediately or with delayed effects.

It is common practice for companies to claim that they are neutral actors in conflicts since they do not take sides; many also claim they are focused on the 'business of business' with no involvement in the 'political issues' driving conflicts. This is sometimes used as a justification for not doing hHRDD or for not seeing hHRDD as meaningful or relevant to the business.

However, business activities in CAHRAs are never neutral. In conflict settings, each actor and its actions have effects that directly or indirectly, willingly or unwillingly, shape, influence, or drive the factors that may be causing, exacerbating, or sustaining conflict.

These actions can trigger significant snowball effects for companies, threatening business continuity at the country level and a company's reputation and standing at the corporate level.

In spite of their claims or wishful thinking, companies are never neutral actors in conflict settings.

Global

Brief 2 | What is (and what is not) 'heightened' about hHRDD?

Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected Areas series | November 2024

An in-depth understanding of the conflict context is a business asset; it allows for mastery of business activities in complex contexts.

Meaningful hHRDD is, therefore, an investment more than a cost.

An effective hHRDD process requires specific conflictrelated knowledge and expertise.

3. Why do hHRDD well?

A solid hHRDD provides deep understanding of the context, company interlinkages with that context, and clarity on the way forward. Such contextual knowledge enables companies to navigate extremely delicate and sensitive situations and manage their relationships with the various stakeholders.

In short, a solid hHRDD process brings more to companies than "simply" managing their impacts on conflict dynamics and human rights (even if this is imperative). It strengthens their knowledge of the conditions in the business environment and helps consolidate their operations and standing within that context thanks to a clear understanding of the stakes, risks, and actors. Wise leadership should embrace hHRDD when operating in conflict settings as a helpful tool in the toolbox.

A meaningful hHRDD takes as a precept that companies will do their utmost to not create further disruptions and harm to already challenging contexts. So, the process is in essence about taking care of the people and the contexts in which they operate.

The various steps of the hHRDD process become a tool to transform this precept into reality: it will help gather the relevant information and build an in-depth understanding of the operating environment, of the role of all main stakeholders, and of the potential adverse impacts the business may have on both the rightsholders and the conflict itself. This approach is an investment that will optimise the chance of business continuity and provide understanding about how to consolidate or expand business activities.

4. How to do hHRDD well?

An effective hHRDD process requires a specific set of conflict-related expertise honed to address extremely sensitive questions related to the underlying grievances and root causes driving the conflict, to the main actors weighing on the drivers of conflict, and to the way companies' operations influence the drivers of and main actors in the conflict.

hHRDD requires a number of skills, such as the capacity to: leverage local networks that will help identify and reach out to all relevant stakeholders, including those that are traditionally more difficult to reach out to (either because of geography, social marginalisation or due to active engagement in the armed conflict); manage sensitive discussions with individuals or groups; cross-check and recoup information and analyse it; work and navigate in extremely polarised contexts; deal with sensitive information in a way that does not put stakeholders at risk; etc. Moreover, a well-led hHRDD process requires an approach that is impartial with

Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected Areas series | November 2024

It requires expert 'boots on the ground' to understand company impacts on conflict because drivers of conflict are local, highly context-specific and can only be defined by the local actors themselves.

TrustWorks

Global

There is no short-cut to meaningful hHRDD; lighttouch or 'tick-the-box' hHRDD is ultimately a risk to the company.

Due diligence done well carries opportunities to not only minimise negative impacts on conflict, but also maximise positive impacts on peace and stability. regard to the conflict actors and dynamics, and perceived to be so by most if not all stakeholders.

Most importantly, an hHRDD process cannot be conducted from afar: it requires an understanding of the specific, highly localised drivers of conflict in the precise areas where the company has business activities, and how those issues might link to the broader conflict dynamics in the country. Only then is it possible to ascertain whether a company is at risk of – or indeed already having impacts on – causing, contributing, or being linked to conflict.

On their path to responsible business practice, companies should very clearly recognise that conflict settings are very peculiar 'beasts' creating very peculiar conditions that call for very specific skillsets.

In their continuous search for efficiency, companies may be tempted by minimalistic approaches to hHRDD. These approaches often narrowly concentrate on ensuring compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The reality is that hHRDD cannot fill its role if it is carried out only as part of narrow technical compliance-focused 'tick-the-box' exercises.

This is because compliance-based processes tend to be essentially inward-looking: they are framed by the objective to ensure companies will not suffer legal harm. In contrast, hHRDD is in essence an outward-looking process: its main objective is to ascertain that companies, through their operations and relationships, will not create harm to others and will not trigger, exacerbate or encourage further imbalances in already extremely sensitive conflict settings with, all too often, regional and international repercussions for global peace and stability.

This is where companies have a critical role to play. While outside the realm of 'traditional' hHRDD processes, once companies have successfully minimised negative impacts on conflict, they may also be well-positioned to maximise positive impacts on peace and stability at the local level. Not through philanthropy or charity, but by doing business differently, in ways that help foster greater social cohesion and that consolidate rather than undermine the building blocks of peace which exist in every society.

hHRDD does not call upon companies to leave conflict-affected contexts (even if they will be specific circumstances when companies do need to leave due to national or international law or sanctions-related issues). Leaving – in most but certainly not all cases – often does more harm than good particularly since companies provide many vital goods and services, as well as economic opportunity and hope. hHRDD requires companies to do business differently by recognising that they do not operate or source 'in a vacuum' but do so in ways that have profound impacts on the context and, therefore on the lives and livelihoods of those affected by conflict.



Responsible Business in Conflict-Affected Areas series | November 2024

About TrustWorks

No more business as usual.

TrustWorks Global (TrustWorks) is a Geneva-based social enterprise – in operation since 2013 – enabling public and private actors to engage responsibly and effectively in FCAS.

We work diligently and discreetly to support our public and private sector clients and partners to minimise their negative impacts on conflict and, where possible, to maximise their positive impacts on peace.

We protect our clients' interests by managing their risks, impacts and opportunities in line with legal requirements, normative expectations and evolving best practices across the following three key pillars of work:

We enable **companies** to operate, source and supply in line with legal requirements, normative frameworks and best practices on International Humanitarian Law, heightened human rights due diligence and conflict-sensitivity; where possible, we support companies to go beyond 'minimum standards' to have positive impacts on the lives and livelihoods of those affected by violence and conflict.

We enable investors – including development finance institutions (DFIs), private/institutional and impact investors, as well as private banks – to manage conflict risks and impacts in line with legal requirements, normative frameworks and best practices; where possible and leveraging conflict-sensitive approaches, we support investors to go beyond 'minimum standards' to have positive impacts on the lives and livelihoods of those affected by violence and conflict.

We equip governments, international, regional and local organisations to address pressing, highly complex challenges to peacebuilding and peacemaking; our support creates bridges across diverse constituencies and organisations, public and private sectors, local and global actors with a view to fostering relevant, responsible and risk-informed initiatives in the contexts where they are needed the most.

In the context of our work with both our public and private sector clients, our work is practical, our approach is pragmatic, and our ethos informed by our commitment to improving the lives and livelihoods of those affected by violence and conflict.

For more information contact

Josie Lianna Kaye, CEO & Founder | josie@trustworksglobal.com